	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/726,178	MURRISH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Steven R. Garland	2125
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u>		
(1) Steven R. Garland.	(3)	
(2) Ms. Schaefer.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 April 2006	Time: afternoon	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: 1		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: the examiner proposed to make a change to claim 1 to place the application in better form for allowance. The change is set forth in the examiner's amendment.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Stor R. Salat		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – it appropriate)